

$\sim \sim \sim$        $\underline{\quad} 0$   
 $\sim \sim \sim$        $\underline{\quad} < \underline{\quad}$

~~~ ( )/( )

---

**From:** Jennifer Lantz [<mailto:jennifer.lantz@bayer.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:56 AM  
**To:** Hrdy, David; VanAlstine, Julie; Crowley, Matthew; Bruce Young; Mary Panek; [angela.s.klemens@dupont.com](mailto:angela.s.klemens@dupont.com); Cheryl Cleveland; [jason.johnston@cheminova.com](mailto:jason.johnston@cheminova.com); Jeff Driver  
**Cc:** Monty Eberhart  
**Subject:** Nomination for 2015 ISES award/Actions at end of e-mail

Dear all, I would like to nominate David Miller for the Constance L. Mehlman Award from ISES and would appreciate your help and input:

**Purpose of Award:**

To recognize an ISES member who has made an outstanding contribution in exposure analysis research that helped shape a national or state policy or provided new approaches for reduction or prevention of exposures.

The following link describes the award and requirements in further detail:

[http://www.isesweb.org/About/about\\_awards\\_cm2.htm](http://www.isesweb.org/About/about_awards_cm2.htm)

Here is what is required:

**Nominating Procedure:**

Nominations shall consist of a nominating letter from a current ISES member describing the nature of the exposure analysis research and how it helped shape a national or state policy or provided new approaches for reduction or prevention of exposures. A copy of the nominee's curriculum vitae should also be submitted. A supporting letter from at least one other person familiar with the contributions of the nominee is necessary; other supporting letters are strongly encouraged.

I would be willing to draft a nomination letter. I can think of several instances from my perspective alone where David helped shape policy around FQPA and exposure and risk assessment for pesticides and that resulted in reductions or prevention of exposure (or from our perspective the maintenance of needed grower tools by showing exposures are below concern). I may not have always agreed with all of his conclusions, but I believe he truly depended on and made realistic science based decisions. I also have never seen a more dedicated employee in any part of our world! I would like input or examples from any of you or anyone else you can think of who can contribute.

Apparently, the more supporting letters received the better. David has been involved with USDA, NIOSH, EFSA, PMRA and probably many other government organizations, NGOs, industry and academics than I am aware of. The more groups and countries represented in the letters would demonstrate the breadth of this influence

Actions:

David H., Matt or Julie:

Do you know of any EPA policies that would prevent him being nominated (or nominated by industry person)?

Do you guys have CVs maintained at EPA or do you know if David has one?

All:

What are your thoughts on telling David he has been nominated? (In other words should it be a

surprise if he wins?)

What examples come to your mind in regards to David in context of the award?

Who else can we contact for letters of support?

Finally, are you willing to provide a letter of support?

Once I start receiving feedback from this e-mail I will contact you with results and next steps. I believe we have until end April to get everything in.

Thank you, Jennifer

---

*The information contained in this e-mail is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s) and may be confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged. Inadvertent disclosure of this message does not constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you receive this message in error, please do not directly or indirectly use, print, copy, forward, or disclose any part of this message. Please also delete this e-mail and all copies and notify the sender. Thank you.*

For alternate languages please go to <http://bayerdisclaimer.bayerweb.com>

---